

Mathematical logic – coursework 4, exercise 4

László Treszkai

March 26, 2017

4/4. Give an example of a model that fulfills every axiom of the Robinson arithmetics, and which contains two elements that are neither greater than or equal to, nor smaller than or equal to one another; or prove that such a model doesn't exist.

Let the universe of the \mathcal{Q}' structure be $\omega \cup \{\kappa, \lambda\}$, where $\kappa, \lambda \notin \omega$ and $\kappa \neq \lambda$. \mathcal{Q}' fulfills the axioms of Robinson arithmetics, if we define the $+$ and \cdot operations as follows ($n \in \omega$):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \kappa \cdot 0 := 0 & \lambda \cdot 0 := 0 \\ n + \kappa := \kappa & n + \lambda := \lambda \\ \kappa + n := \kappa & \lambda + n := \lambda \\ \kappa + \kappa := \kappa & \lambda + \lambda := \lambda \end{array}$$

In case $n, m \in \omega$, let $n + m$ and $n \cdot m$ be defined as the result of addition and multiplication of the corresponding natural numbers. Furthermore, a few values can be chosen arbitrarily:

$$\begin{array}{l} \kappa + \lambda := \kappa \text{ or } \kappa + \lambda := \lambda \\ \lambda + \kappa := \kappa \text{ or } \lambda + \kappa := \lambda \\ n \cdot \kappa := \kappa \text{ or } n \cdot \kappa := \lambda \\ n \cdot \lambda := \kappa \text{ or } n \cdot \lambda := \lambda \\ 0 \cdot \kappa := 0 \text{ or } 0 \cdot \kappa := \kappa \text{ or } 0 \cdot \kappa := \lambda \\ 0 \cdot \lambda := 0 \text{ or } 0 \cdot \lambda := \kappa \text{ or } 0 \cdot \lambda := \lambda \end{array}$$

With the choice of $\kappa + \lambda := \lambda$ and $\lambda + \kappa := \kappa$, we get $\neg \exists z(z + \kappa = \lambda)$ and $\neg \exists z(z + \lambda = \kappa)$, so neither $\kappa \leq \lambda$, nor $\lambda \leq \kappa$. ■

We can easily prove that \mathcal{Q}' fulfills all of the required axioms for any two elements, using the above definitions of the operations and the properties of ω . For illustration, below is the proof of $x \cdot (y + 1) = (x \cdot y) + x$, for the case of $x = \kappa$, $y = n \in \omega$:

$$n \in \omega \Rightarrow n + 1 = m \in \omega$$

$$\kappa * (n + 1) = \kappa * m = \kappa$$

$$(\kappa * n) + \kappa = \kappa + \kappa = \kappa$$

The Haskell program listed in the appendix checks whether a finite substructure of \mathcal{Q}' fulfills every axiom, for a given definition of the operations.

Appendix for coursework 4, exercise 4

robinsonTest.hs:

```

1 import NonStdRobinson
2
3 main =
4     mapM_ ( \ (n, phi) →
5         print $ "Axiom " ++ show n ++
6             if phi testNumbers
7                 then " fulfilled"
8                 else " failed"
9     ) (zip [1..] axiomTestList)
10     where testNumbers = [Nat 0, Nat 1, Nat 2, Kappa, Lambda]
11           -- "Nulla, egy, ketto, sok." Urban J.
12
13 axiomTestList = [axiom1, axiom2, axiom3, axiom4, axiom5, axiom6, axiom7]
14
15 -- x + 1 /= 0
16 axiom1 :: [CuteNumber] → Bool
17 axiom1 xs = all phi xs
18     where phi x = x.+Nat 1 /= Nat 0
19
20 -- (x /= 0) → (exists y: x = y + 1)
21 axiom2 :: [CuteNumber] → Bool
22 axiom2 xs = all phi xs
23     where phi x = (x == Nat 0) || any (\y → x == y.+Nat 1) xs
24
25 -- (x /= y) → (x + 1 /= y + 1)
26 axiom3 :: [CuteNumber] → Bool
27 axiom3 xs = all phi (pairs xs)
28     where phi (x,y) = (x == y) || (x.+Nat 1 /= y.+Nat 1)
29
30 -- x + 0 = x
31 axiom4 :: [CuteNumber] → Bool
32 axiom4 xs = all phi xs
33     where phi x = x.+Nat 0 == x

```

```

34
35 --  $x * 0 = 0$ 
36 axiom5 :: [CuteNumber] → Bool
37 axiom5 xs = all phi xs
38   where phi x = x.*.Nat 0 == Nat 0
39
40 --  $x + (y + 1) = (x + y) + 1$ 
41 axiom6 :: [CuteNumber] → Bool
42 axiom6 xs = all phi (pairs xs)
43   where phi (x,y) = x.+(y+.Nat 1) == (x.+y).+.Nat 1
44
45 --  $x * (y + 1) = (x * y) + x$ 
46 axiom7 :: [CuteNumber] → Bool
47 axiom7 xs = all phi (pairs xs)
48   where phi (x,y) = x.*(y+.Nat 1) == (x.*.y).+.x
49
50 pairs :: [a] → [(a,a)]
51 pairs xs = (,) <$> xs <*> xs

```

NonStdRobinson.hs:

```

1 module NonStdRobinson
2 (
3
4   CuteNumber(Nat, Kappa, Lambda),
5   (+.), (.*.)
6 ) where
7
8 data CuteNumber = Nat Int | Kappa | Lambda deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
9
10 -- + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
11
12 (+.) :: CuteNumber → CuteNumber → CuteNumber
13 Nat n1 .+. Nat n2 = Nat (n1 + n2)
14
15 Nat _ .+. Kappa = Kappa
16 Kappa .+. Nat _ = Kappa
17 Kappa .+. Kappa = Kappa
18 Kappa .+. Lambda = Lambda
19
20 Nat _ .+. Lambda = Lambda
21 Lambda .+. Nat _ = Lambda
22 Lambda .+. Lambda = Lambda
23 Lambda .+. Kappa = Kappa
24
25 -- * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
26
27 (.*.) :: CuteNumber → CuteNumber → CuteNumber
28 Nat n1 .* Nat n2 = Nat (n1 * n2)
29
30 Nat 0 .* _ = Nat 0

```

```
31 _      .* Nat 0 = Nat 0
32
33 Nat _  .* Kappa = Kappa
34 Kappa .* _      = Kappa
35
36 Nat _  .* Lambda = Lambda
37 Lambda .* _      = Lambda
```